ARTICLE APPEARED ON PAGE A10

THE WASHINGTON POST 19 June 1981

Monitoring: Not-So-Sec

U.S. Tried to Keep Lid on Two Listenin

By Murrey Marder Washington Post Staff Writer

For nearly a year, the Carter and Reagan administrations did their utmost to prevent public disclosure of the existence of two secret electronic monitoring stations operating in China with American equipment and manned by Chinese personnel.

The Carter administration succeeded in keeping the story out of print, but the Reagan administration did not, although vigorous attempts by top-level officials to forestall publication continued into the late afternoon Tuesday, just before NBC television's nightly news went on the air.

The NBC report was not the first public reference to the secret monitoring stations, but it had the greatest national and international impact.

Anchorman John Chancellor opened by saying:

"Good evening. The United States and the People's Republic of China have been watching missile tests in the Soviet Union for the past year from two secret monitoring stations deep in China "

NBC then switched to diplomatic reporter Marvin Kalb in Washington for the actual report, with accompanying film that included street scenes in Tehran showing wildly cheering crowds hailing Iran's revolution. Among other things, the upheaval had wiped out electronic eavesdropping posts operated by the United States for years on the Iranian-Soviet border. The monitoring installations now in China are replacements for that major intelligence loss.

Existence of the monitoring posts had been reported obliquely last Sunday in The Washington Post and, as a consequence, less obliquely in one pararaph of William Safire's column entitled "Essay" in The New York Times Monday. ,

In piecemeal fashion, veils were being removed from a behind-the-scenes debate involving the government and press. about publishing a story that officials of two administrations tried to keep out of print

Ironically, the beginnings of the story had been known since at least April 20, 1979, when China's offer to replace the Iranian monitoring stations was reported on the front page of The Post, and perhaps other newspapers subscribing to The Post's news service. Under a Hong Kong dateline, Jay Mathews of The Post's Foreign Service reported: •

"Chinese Vice Premier Deng Xiaoping ... said today that China is willing to use American equipment on Chinese soil to monitor Soviet compliance with a proposed new arms limitation treaty, according to U.S. senators visiting Peking."

The report also said Deng, "in response to a question from Sen. Joseph R. Biden Jr. (D-Del.) made clear that the monitoring stations would have to be run by Chinese and that Peking would share the collected data with Washington."

The delegation's leader, Sen. Frank Church (D-Idaho), then chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, told reporters who asked for his reaction to Deng's offer. "We'd have to pursue the matter further."

To an even half-alert Soviet intelligence officer here, that report surely resulted in the clang of alarm bells back to the Kremlin.

It should have been obvious that the U.S. government, and the Central Intelligence Agency in particular, were unlikely to pass up such an opportunity, especially when loss of Iranian monitoring posts was a very troublesome issue for the Carter administration in its attempt to complete the second strategic arms limitation treaty (SALT II). That pact finally was signed by President

Similar alarm bells should have rung in U.S. newspaper offices about any follow-up on Deng's offer, but journalism has its peculiarities. It was not until November, as best as can be established, that The Times had the story, or as much of it as was available then.

Newspapers tend to hold their secrets very tightly, so this account is not necessarily complete.

Existence of a monitoring "facility" in China became known to Richard R. Burt, then national security reporter for The Times, who had a reputation for "breaking" what government officials regarded as some of the most sensitive stories, and to his Times colleague, Philip Taubman.

The monitoring secret reportedly also became known about that time to one or two other journalists, but not to any reporters at The Post. According to journalistic sources, The Times was telked out of publishing the story last November on "national security. grounds" by Carter's national security affairs adviser Zbigniew Brzezinski and perhaps others.

These sources said The Times againwas talked out of publishing the report as a prominent news story as recently as last Tuesday by CIA Director William J. Casey. At that point, the story had been reported Sunday in the context of an overall review of China policy in The Post by this reporter, and then by Times columnist Safire Monday.

By that time Burt had become what insiders traditionally label a "poacher turned gamekeeper." He left The Times after being named director of the State Department's Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs, becoming one of the administration's prime keepers of secrets.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/28 : CIA-RDP91-00561R000100050042-0